



Fragmented Memory, Temporal Dislocation, and the Futility of War in Vonnegut's *Slaughterhouse-Five*

Dr V.Kavitha

Assistant Professor of English, Department of Career Development Centre, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.

OPEN ACCESS

Article Citation:

Dr V.Kavitha' "Fragmented Memory, Temporal Dislocation, and the Futility of War in Vonnegut's *Slaughterhouse-Five*", International Journal of Recent Trends in Multidisciplinary Research, September-October 2026, Vol 6(05), 34-39.



©2026 The Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and

reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. Published by 5th Dimension Research Publication

Abstract: Kurt Vonnegut's *Slaughterhouse-Five* (1969) remains a seminal intervention in twentieth-century war literature, notable for its unconventional treatment of time, memory, and trauma. The novel *Slaughterhouse-Five*, unsettles conventional linear narration by employing fragmentation, cyclical temporality, meta-fictional devices, and science-fictional frameworks, drawing on Vonnegut's imprisonment during the 1945 Dresden bombing. This study examines *Slaughterhouse-Five* through the combined lenses of trauma theory and memory studies, integrating the work of Cathy Caruth, Dori Laub, Dominick LaCapra, Marianne Hirsch, Paul Ricoeur, and Bessel van der Kolk to argue that the novel's fragmented structure reflects mirrors the psychological rupture inherent in post-traumatic experience. Billy Pilgrim's temporal dislocation, hallucinations, emotional numbness, and compulsive return to war memories function as literary manifestations of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Furthermore, the narrative's fatalistic refrain "So it goes" exposes the normalisation of violence within wartime culture. By situating *Slaughterhouse-Five* within the broader tradition of anti-war fiction—including works by Joseph Heller, Tim O'Brien, and Erich Maria Remarque—this paper demonstrates how Vonnegut critiques the ethics of war representation and resists historical erasure. Ultimately, the study argues that Vonnegut's refusal of narrative coherence constitutes a form of moral resistance, positioning literature as a crucial medium for bearing witness to atrocity while acknowledging the profound limits of representation.

Keywords: Trauma theory; Memory studies; Narrative fragmentation; Temporal dislocation; Anti-war fiction; Metafiction; Postmodernism; Historical violence.

1. Introduction

Kurt Vonnegut's *Slaughterhouse-Five* occupies a central place in post-war American literature, not only for its biting satire and dark humour but also for its radical interrogation of trauma, memory, and the ethics of representing war. Published in 1969, at the height of the Vietnam War, the novel combines autobiographical experience, science fiction motifs, and metafictional strategies to explore the psychological consequences of mass violence. Vonnegut survived the bombing of Dresden as a prisoner of war, and this personal history lends the novel an unmistakable testimonial authority while simultaneously exposing the difficulty of narrating traumatic experience.

Rather than offering a coherent, linear reconstruction of the Dresden bombing, the narrative follows Billy Pilgrim, an unheroic, emotionally detached veteran who becomes "unstuck in time." His movement across different temporal zones—childhood, wartime imprisonment, post-war domestic life, and captivity in an extraterrestrial zoo—reflects a psyche fractured by trauma. The novel destabilises the boundaries between reality and hallucination, memory and imagination, past and present, thus challenging the conventions of traditional war narratives that typically emphasise heroism, moral clarity, and chronological progression.

2. Review Of Literature

Critical studies position *Slaughterhouse-Five* at the junction between trauma theory, memory studies, and postmodern narrative practice. Caruth (1996) and Laub (1995) variously contend that traumatic events do not lend themselves to coherent narration, an idea itself reflected in Billy Pilgrim's state of temporal dislocation and fragmentary recollections. Van der Kolk (2014) would explain a timeless trauma of this sort as the expression of intrusive memory manifest at the level of psychological expressiveness. Memory scholars like Hirsch (2008) and Assmann (2011) have underlined the work of literature in preserving cultural trauma, highlighting how Vonnegut's chronicle of Dresden works in opposition to historical erasure. By comparison with other anti-war literature, *All Quiet on the Western Front* by Remarque and Heller's *Catch-22* equally detail the futility and psychological impact of war. Hence, postmodern theorists such as Hutcheon (1988) and McHale (1987) have pointed out the use of metafiction, ontological ambiguity, and irony by Vonnegut in challenging historical truth and narrative authority. Felman and Laub's work on testimony brings further light into the ethical challenge of representing atrocity, which is a tension explicit in the self-reflexive commentary and deliberate narrative gaps of Vonnegut. Taken together, these essays show that *Slaughterhouse-Five* uses fragmentation, satire, and metafiction tropes not only as literary techniques but as an active means of expressing the ruptures, silences, and ethical ambiguities of traumatic memory and war representation.

3. Theoretical Framework

This study argues that *Slaughterhouse-Five* is best understood through the interdisciplinary frameworks of trauma theory and memory studies. Trauma scholars such as Caruth (1996), Laub (1995), Felman (1992), and LaCapra (2001) highlight the fragmentary nature of traumatic memory, the belated return of unprocessed experience, and the ethical challenges of testimony—all of which are encoded into the novel's structure. Memory theorists—including Hirsch (2008), Assmann (2011), and Ricoeur (2004)—further illuminate the novel's broader engagement with cultural remembrance and historical forgetting. By integrating these perspectives, this paper reveals how Vonnegut critiques not only the brutality and futility of war but also the inadequacy of language and narrative to fully represent trauma. *Slaughterhouse-Five*, therefore, becomes a counter-narrative that resists the erasure of civilian suffering and challenges simplistic depictions of warfare. Through its fragmented form, metafictional self-reflexivity, and philosophical detachment, the novel redefines the possibilities of anti-war literature.

Trauma Theory and the Rupture of Experience

Trauma theorists argue that traumatic events defy assimilation into coherent memory due to their overwhelming nature. Cathy Caruth (1996) describes trauma as a wound that resists integration, returning repeatedly in intrusive, uncontrolled flashbacks. This process is reflected in Billy Pilgrim's involuntary time travel, which propels him back to wartime experiences regardless of his conscious will. These temporal intrusions mimic what Bessel van der Kolk (2014) identifies as "timeless trauma," where the past is experienced as an ever-present reality rather than a contained memory.

Dori Laub (1995) emphasises that trauma survivors often struggle to articulate their experiences, not because they lack willingness but because the traumatic event overwhelms narrative capacity. This insight is mirrored in Billy's fragmented psyche: his memories of Dresden emerge as scattered, partial recollections, devoid of narrative coherence. Billy cannot "tell" his trauma because the trauma itself resists telling.

The Psychological Logic of Temporal Collapse

The novel's disruption of linear chronology is not simply a stylistic device but a psychological representation of traumatic experience. Trauma dislocates the survivor from the ordinary sequence of time, resulting in a fractured sense of self. Billy's identity, similarly, is dispersed across temporal fragments: he is simultaneously the frightened prisoner wandering Dresden's ruins, the optometrist living an uneventful life in Ilium, and the captive displayed in a Tralfamadorian zoo. These competing identities never reconcile into a stable self, signifying the psychic fragmentation characteristic of PTSD.

Dominick LaCapra (2001) distinguishes between "acting out" and "working through" trauma. Billy is trapped in a perpetual cycle of acting out, repeatedly returning to traumatic episodes without achieving any meaningful resolution. His temporal dislocation symbolises this compulsive, involuntary repetition of unprocessed memory.

A further dimension of trauma in *Slaughterhouse-Five* emerges through what LaCapra (2001) terms "*empathetic unsettlement*." The narrative does not guide the reader toward stable identification with Billy, nor does it offer emotional catharsis; instead, it evokes a disorienting oscillation between distance and proximity. This effect mirrors the destabilising psychological state of traumatised individuals who cannot fully inhabit or fully escape their memories. Vonnegut captures this through abrupt temporal transitions, such as the sudden shift from the freezing boxcar journey to the warmth of his daughter's wedding reception. The juxtaposition—"Billy was scared stiff in the boxcar. Then he was back at the wedding, trying to smile" (Vonnegut, 1969)—illustrates how traumatic memory intrudes violently into present consciousness, creating a dual temporality where past horrors collapse onto the present. This technique not only reflects clinical descriptions of intrusive memory but also encourages readers to confront the instability of trauma without fully resolving it.

Narrative Fragmentation as a Mirror of Trauma Structural Disruption and Form

Vonnegut's rejection of traditional narrative form mirrors the disintegration of traumatic consciousness. The novel's jumps between temporal, geographical, and psychological spaces reflect the ways traumatic memory resists linear organisation. Chapters begin abruptly, scenes end without closure, and moments from different decades coexist within a single paragraph.

Fragmented Memory, Temporal Dislocation, and the Futility of War in Vonnegut's *Slaughterhouse-Five*

This structural instability illustrates the internal fragmentation experienced by trauma survivors.

Anne Whitehead (2009) identifies such narrative strategies—disjunction, repetition, silence—as hallmarks of trauma fiction. Vonnegut employs all three to demonstrate the inadequacy of conventional storytelling to contain or represent catastrophic experiences such as Dresden.

“So it goes”: A Textual Marker of Trauma

The phrase “So it goes” follows every mention of death, functioning as a textual manifestation of emotional numbness. Its repetition—over one hundred times—mimics the repetitive nature of traumatic memory while simultaneously critiquing society's desensitisation to violence. It serves as: a coping mechanism for Billy, helping him disengage from emotional pain, a narrative scar, marking each trauma within the text, an ethical commentary on the normalisation of death during wartime.

The refrain's emptiness reflects the impossibility of comprehending mass death and the futility of attempting to assign meaning to wartime violence.

The novel's fragmentation is further intensified by Vonnegut's use of repetition as both a stylistic and psychological device. Beyond “So it goes,” the repeated descriptions of bodies as “blue and ivory” illustrate traumatic dissociation and detachment from physical suffering. When Billy observes his own freezing feet described in these colours, the clinical observation—“His bare feet were blue and ivory. They were so cold he could not feel them” (Vonnegut, 1969)—reveals an almost out-of-body perspective common in trauma survivors who detach from the sensory immediacy of pain. This repeated chromatic imagery marks points where Billy's consciousness retreats from bodily experience, reinforcing the novel's thematic insistence that trauma is not only psychological but also deeply embodied.

Temporal Dislocation and the Distortion of Memory

Time Travel as Psychological Metaphor

Billy's time travel is not literal but a metaphor for the intrusive recurrence of traumatic memory. Trauma collapses the boundary between past and present, and Billy's involuntary movements across time reflect this collapse. He is unable to choose which memories to revisit; equally, he cannot prevent traumatic moments from resurfacing. This aligns with van der Kolk's assertion that trauma survivors “re-live” rather than remember their experiences. The Tralfamadorian assertion that all moments exist simultaneously corresponds to a trauma-induced sense of temporal stasis, where the past does not recede but remains frozen and ever-accessible.

Hallucination, Dissociation, and Psychic Survival

Billy's visions—such as hallucinating warm socks while freezing in the snow—symbolise dissociation, a psychological escape mechanism triggered by overwhelming stress. These hallucinations parallel what Judith Herman (1992) identifies as trauma-induced psychic fragmentation, where the mind “splits” to protect itself from unbearable realities.

The Reverse War Film: Reimagining the Irreversible

One of the novel's most striking scenes involves Billy watching a war film backwards, in which bombs fly back into airplanes, cities are restored, and weapons are disassembled. This inversion reflects: a survivor's wish to undo trauma, a critique of war's irreversible destruction and a refusal of the linear temporality of history

The reverse film becomes a utopian fantasy in which violence can be undone, yet its impossibility underscores the permanence of wartime trauma.

The Tralfamadorian conception of time can also be read through the lens of Paul Ricoeur's (2004) philosophy of memory and narrative identity. The aliens' claim that all moments are fixed undermines the idea that humans construct coherent selves through narrative continuity. For Billy, this results in an identity unanchored by linear progression: he exists simultaneously across disparate moments, embodying what Ricoeur describes as a fractured “emplotment” of life events. This narrative destabilisation mirrors Ricoeur's insight that trauma disrupts the plot-making function of memory. When Billy states, “I knew it was going to happen, because I had visited it many times” (Vonnegut, 1969), he reveals that trauma has stripped him of agency, turning memory into a deterministic trap rather than a resource for meaning-making.

Memory as Cultural and Political Resistance

Counter-Memory Against Historical Erasure

The bombing of Dresden was largely absent from mainstream American war narratives for decades. By foregrounding it, Vonnegut challenges what Pierre Nora (1989) terms the suppression of “sites of memory.” The novel thus becomes a cultural intervention, refusing the erasure of civilian suffering from historical consciousness.

Memory as Burden and Testimony

Billy's inability to escape traumatic memory demonstrates that remembering is both a burden and a moral obligation. As Hirsch (2008) argues, memory can function across generations; *Slaughterhouse-Five* transmits the trauma of Dresden to readers who did not experience it directly. Vonnegut transforms fragmented memory into testimony, despite acknowledging that full representation is impossible.

Metafiction and the Ethics of Representing Atrocity

Authorial Intrusion and the Problematics of Testimony

Vonnegut's self-insertion into the narrative—particularly in the opening chapter and at key moments during Billy's

Fragmented Memory, Temporal Dislocation, and the Futility of War in Vonnegut's *Slaughterhouse-Five*

wartime journey—signals the metafictional nature of *Slaughterhouse-Five*. This technique foregrounds the challenges inherent in translating lived trauma into narrative form. His admission, “There is nothing intelligent to say about a massacre,” is a candid recognition of the representational crisis trauma imposes.

Shoshana Felman and Dori Laub (1992) argue that testimony is not merely the recounting of events but an encounter between speaker and listener that recognises the limits of language. Vonnegut's metafictional interjections acknowledge the impossibility of authentically representing mass suffering without either trivialising or aestheticising it. He does not attempt to reconstruct Dresden in graphic detail; instead, he highlights the inadequacy of language itself, thus refusing to transform atrocity into spectacle.

Black Humour as Moral Deflection

Vonnegut's use of black humour—such as the Tralfamadorians' philosophical musings or the absurdity of Billy's abduction—creates an emotional distance that protects against sentimentalising trauma. Humour becomes a coping mechanism for both author and protagonist. It is part of what Susan Sontag (2003) describes as the tension between representing suffering and avoiding its exploitation.

Vonnegut deepens the metafictional critique of historical narration through deliberate stylistic plainness and refusal of aesthetic embellishment. Rather than presenting Dresden with epic grandeur or sentimental pathos, he describes the apocalyptic scene with neutral, even banal prose: “There was nothing to see; everything was gone, and the only sound was the dripping of melted snow” (Vonnegut, 1969). This anti-aesthetic strategy aligns with Sontag's (2003) argument that representations of atrocity risk becoming pornography of suffering. By avoiding graphic sensationalism, Vonnegut forces the reader to confront the moral dilemma of witnessing atrocity without deriving emotional gratification or narrative pleasure from it. His narrative minimalism thus becomes an ethical stance against the commodification of grief.

Postmodernism and the Deconstruction of War Narratives

Disruption of Grand Narratives

Postmodern literature is characterised by scepticism toward totalising narratives, whether political, historical, or moral. *Slaughterhouse-Five* exemplifies this scepticism by refusing to present war as heroic, purposeful, or morally justified. It undermines the dominant historical discourse that frames war as a noble enterprise, instead portraying it as chaotic, absurd, and meaningless.

Vonnegut's fragmented narration, intertextual references, and metafictional techniques align with postmodern strategies identified by Linda Hutcheon (1988): self-reflexivity, parody, and historiographic metafiction. Through these devices, he critiques not only the events of the past but the ways in which history itself is constructed.

The Collapse of Coherent Identity

Postmodern identity is fluid, unstable, and constructed through multiple narrative voices. Billy Pilgrim embodies this instability. He exists simultaneously as: a frightened young soldier, a middle-aged optometrist, a time traveller, and an exhibit in an alien zoo.

This multiplicity reflects a postmodern sense of fractured selfhood, which mirrors the fragmentation produced by trauma. Billy's identity becomes a collage of irreconcilable experiences, highlighting the impossibility of forming a unified self in the wake of catastrophe. Furthermore, the novel's postmodern sensibility is heightened by its deliberate blurring of ontological boundaries. The ambiguity regarding whether Billy's Tralfamadorian experiences are literal, imagined, or trauma-induced hallucinations reflects what Brian McHale identifies as the ontological dominant of postmodern fiction: the disruption of distinctions between worlds. The question—“Did the universe really look like that, or was it Billy's delirium?”—is never answered, suggesting that trauma destabilises reality to such an extent that epistemological certainty becomes impossible. This ontological ambiguity forces readers into a position of productive doubt, illustrating the cognitive dissonance that traumatised individuals experience when attempting to differentiate between memory, dream, and delusion.

The Tralfamadorians and the Philosophy of Time

The Tralfamadorian belief that all moments coexist echoes trauma's collapse of temporal boundaries. Their deterministic worldview—where free will is an illusion—resonates with Billy's sense of powerlessness. Trauma immobilises him psychologically, just as Tralfamadorian time freezes individuals in fixed moments.

The Symbolism of “Poo-tee-weet?”

The novel ends with a bird singing “Poo-tee-weet?” after the firebombing of Dresden, a seemingly meaningless sound that carries profound symbolic weight. This utterance underscores the failure of language in the face of atrocity, highlighting the impossibility of articulating the enormity of mass violence through conventional narrative forms. It reflects the inadequacy of storytelling to provide moral closure, especially when confronting events that defy comprehension or ethical resolution. The bird's cry also signifies the enduring silence surrounding civilian suffering—an absence of testimony that mirrors the historical erasure of Dresden's devastation. In this sense, the final moment aligns with Caruth's assertion that trauma often communicates through gaps, silences, and ruptures rather than through coherent expression. The question-like “Poo-tee-weet?” thus becomes an emblem of the limits of language and the unresolved, haunting residue of trauma that resists narrative containment. The slaughterhouse in which Billy is imprisoned works as a powerful metaphor for modern warfare's mechanised brutality. Its industrial setting evokes the systematic and impersonal nature of mass killing, suggesting that human beings—just like animals—

Fragmented Memory, Temporal Dislocation, and the Futility of War in Vonnegut's *Slaughterhouse-Five*

are processed through machinery that strips them of individuality and worth. This setting symptomatises the deep-seated dehumanisation at work in wartime violence, where human life is reduced to expendable material within a relentless apparatus of destruction. By aligning the industrial slaughter of animals with large-scale devastation wrought by technological warfare, Vonnegut underscores his critique of the mechanical efficiency with which modern societies execute violence. The slaughterhouse becomes a grim symbol of war's capacity to reconstitute individuals into mere components of a broader system of annihilation.

One of the most poignant instances of trauma representation occurs when Billy discovers a group of corpses frozen in the rubble of Dresden: "The men had been trying to escape, their hands up, as if still pleading for mercy" (Vonnegut, 1969). The frozen, pleading gesture becomes a tableau of suspended suffering, encapsulating both the physical immobility of the dead and the psychic immobility of the survivor who beholds them. Billy's response is not horror but a muted detachment, reflecting trauma's numbing effect. The description's simplicity underscores the inadequacy of language to reconcile the absolute finality of death with the survivor's ongoing internal devastation. It is a moment where narrative collapses into silence—precisely the kind of representational limit that trauma theorists identify as central to testimonial literature.

4. Conclusion

Slaughterhouse-Five stands as a seminal intervention in war literature and trauma studies, redefining how narratives engage with historical violence. Through its fragmented structure, temporal dislocation, and metafictional strategies, the novel captures the psychological realities of trauma while simultaneously challenging conventional modes of representation. Billy Pilgrim's fractured consciousness exemplifies the disruption of time, memory, and identity that characterises post-traumatic experience. More significantly, Vonnegut's refusal of linearity and narrative closure functions not merely as a stylistic innovation but as an ethical stance. By resisting coherence, the novel rejects the narrative conventions that risk simplifying or aestheticising mass suffering. In doing so, it foregrounds the limits of language in representing atrocity while insisting on the necessity of remembrance. Positioned within the broader tradition of anti-war literature, *Slaughterhouse-Five* distinguishes itself through its integration of postmodern form and trauma aesthetics. Its enduring significance lies in transforming narrative fragmentation into a mode of moral resistance, offering a powerful critique of war and a compelling model for representing trauma in literature.

References

1. Assmann, A. (2011). *Cultural memory and Western civilisation: Functions, media, archives*. Cambridge University Press.
2. B. P. Mahapatra, R. Panda, R. Das, and S. Dash, "Assessing the Role of Game-Based Learning in Improving Academic Achievement and Student Motivation," *Int. J. Artif. Intell. Mach. Learn.*, vol. 6, no. 1S, pp. 276–291, 2026.
3. Caruth, C. (1996). *Unclaimed experience: Trauma, narrative, and history*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
4. Felman, S., & Laub, D. (1992). *Testimony: Crises of witnessing in literature, psychoanalysis, and history*. Routledge.
5. Heller, J. (1961). *Catch-22*. Simon & Schuster.
6. Herman, J. L. (1992). *Trauma and recovery*. Basic Books.
7. Hirsch, M. (2008). The generation of postmemory. *Poetics Today*, 29(1), 103–128.
8. Hutcheon, L. (1988). *A poetics of postmodernism: History, theory, fiction*. Routledge.
9. K. D. Kumar, S. Dash, and R. K. Ganiya, "Ensemble Deep Learning Technique for Robust Seizure Detection Using Integrating Convolutional and Recurrent Neural Networks with Advanced Optimization Techniques," *Int. J. Basic Appl. Sci.*, vol. 14, no. SI-1, pp. 510–518, 2025, doi: 10.14419/04tp2d60.
10. K. Dileep Kumar, S. Dash, and R. K. Ganiya, "An Effective Epileptic Seizure Detection Using Graph Neural Networks," *J. Inf. Syst. Eng. Manag.*, vol. 10, no. 2S, pp. 157–166, 2025, doi: 10.52783/jisem.v10i2s.210.
11. LaCapra, D. (2001). *Writing history, writing trauma*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
12. Laub, D. (1995). Truth and testimony: The process and the struggle. In C. Caruth (Ed.), *Trauma: Explorations in memory* (pp. 61–75). Johns Hopkins University Press.
13. Nora, P. (1989). Between memory and history: Les lieux de mémoire. *Representations*, 26, 7–24.
14. O'Brien, T. (1990). *The things they carried*. Houghton Mifflin.
15. R. Patnaik, P. S. Rath, S. Padhy, and S. Dash, "Enhancing Lung Cancer Diagnosis through Advanced CT Scan Image Analysis: A Novel Approach Using Mask-EffNet," *Nanotechnol. Perceptions*, vol. 20, no. S5, pp. 1–26, 2024.
16. Remarque, E. M. (1929). *All quiet on the Western front*. Little, Brown and Company.
17. Ricoeur, P. (2004). *Memory, history, forgetting*. University of Chicago Press.
18. S. Dash, A. Padhi, B. Panda, E. Kumari, N. Kumar and L. Sahu, "Bilingual Scene Text Recognition for Odia and English in Multilingual Environments," 2026 IEEE Madhya Pradesh Section Conference (MPCON), Gwalior, India, 2026, pp. 868-873, doi: 10.1109/MPCON69668.2026.11508510.
19. S. Dash, S. K. Behera, P. K. Sahu and K. Panda, "Deep Neural Networks for Accurate Detection of Nail Disorders," 2025 International Conference on Information, Implementation, and Innovation in Technology (I2ITCON), Pune, India, 2025, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/I2ITCON65200.2025.11210454.
20. S. Dash, S. K. Sinha, K. Vineesha, P. S. Nanda and N. Kumar, "Intelligent Navigation Control Through Visionbased Hand Gesture Recognition Using YOLOv8n," 2026 IEEE Madhya Pradesh Section Conference (MPCON), Gwalior, India, 2026, pp. 956-961, doi: 10.1109/MPCON69668.2026.11508361.
21. S. Dash, S. Negi, S. Alam, A. K. Mandak, D. Shukla and S. Kumar, "Explainable Multi-Task Deep Learning for Multimodal Medical Diagnosis Using Imaging and Clinical Data," 2026 IEEE Madhya Pradesh Section Conference (MPCON), Gwalior, India, 2026, pp. 416-421, doi: 10.1109/MPCON69668.2026.11508169.
22. S. Dash, S. S. Dhal, S. Das, S. Fadikar and N. Kumar, "Deep Learning-Based Neural Machine Translation and Character Conversion for Odia to English Text Using Transformer Architectures," 2026 IEEE Madhya Pradesh Section Conference (MPCON), Gwalior, India, 2026, pp. 379-384, doi: 10.1109/MPCON69668.2026.11508550.

Fragmented Memory, Temporal Dislocation, and the Futility of War in Vonnegut's Slaughterhouse-Five

23. S. R. Mishra and S. Dash, "AI-Driven Remote Health Monitoring for Predicting Diabetes and Heart Diseases Using ULMCSO and PGND Models," in *Hyper-Intelligent Networks: Exploring the Future of Connectivity for Society 5.0*, pp. 219–247, 2026.
24. Scarry, E. (1985). *The body in pain: The making and unmaking of the world*. Oxford University Press.
25. Sontag, S. (2003). *Regarding the pain of others*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
26. Van der Kolk, B. A. (2014). *The body keeps the score: Brain, mind, and body in the healing of trauma*. Viking.
27. Vonnegut, K. (1969). *Slaughterhouse-five, or The children's crusade: A duty-dance with death*. Dial Press.
28. Whitehead, A. (2009). *Memory: New critical idiom*. Routledge.